



# Immingham Green Energy Terminal

9.24 Draft Agreed Statement of Common Ground between Associated British Ports, Air Products (BR) Limited and Network Rail Infrastructure Ltd

Infrastructure Planning (Examination Procedure) Rules 2010 Volume 9

> March 2024 Version 1.0 Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR030008 Document Reference: TR030008/EXAM/9.24



# Status of the Statement of Common Ground

Associated British Ports, Air Products (BR) Limited and Network Rail Infrastructure Limited agree that this draft Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) is an accurate description of the matters raised and the current status of each matter.

#### **On Behalf of Associated British Ports**

Name	
Position	Sustainable Development Manager
Organisation	Associated British Ports
Signature	

#### On Behalf of Air Products (BR) Limited

Name	
Position	Commercial Director
Organisation	Air Products
Signature	

#### **On Behalf of Network Rail Infrastructure Limited**

Name	
Position	Associate
Organisation	Addleshaw Goddard LLP
Signature	



# Table of contents

# Chapter

### Pages

Overvi The Pr Parties	Introduction iew roject s to this Statement of Common Ground se and Structure of this Document	1 1 1
	Summary of Engagement	
	Matters Agreed and Matters Not Agreed	
4.	Glossary1	1



## 1. Introduction

#### Overview

- 1.1 This Statement of Common Ground ("SoCG") has been prepared to accompany an application made to the Secretary of State for Transport (the Application") under section 37 of the Planning Act 2008 ("PA 2008") for a development consent order ("DCO") to authorise the construction and operation of the proposed Immingham Green Energy Terminal ("the Project").
- 1.2 The Application is submitted by Associated British Ports ("ABP"). ABP was established in 1981 following the privatisation of the British Transport Docks Board. The **Funding Statement [APP-010]** provides further information on ABP.
- 1.3 The Project as proposed by ABP falls within the definition of a Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project ("NSIP") as set out in Sections 14(1)(j), 24(2) and 24(3)(c) of the PA 2008.

#### **The Project**

- 1.4 ABP is seeking to construct, operate and maintain the Immingham Green Energy Terminal, comprising a new multi-user liquid bulk green energy terminal located on the eastern side of the Port of Immingham (the "Port").
- 1.5 The Project includes the construction and operation of a green hydrogen production facility, which would be delivered and operated by Air Products (BR) Limited ("Air Products"). Air Products will be the first customer of the new terminal, whereby green ammonia will be imported via the jetty and converted onsite into green hydrogen, making a positive contribution to the UK's net zero agenda by helping to decarbonise the United Kingdom's (UK) industrial activities and in particular the heavy transport sector.
- 1.6 A detailed description of the Project is included in **Chapter 2: The Project** of the Environmental Statement ("ES") **[APP-044]**.

#### Parties to this Statement of Common Ground

- 1.7 This SoCG has been prepared by (1) ABP (as the Applicant), (2) Air Products and (3) Network Rail Infrastructure Ltd ("Network Rail").
- 1.8 ABP is the promoter of the Project and the owner and operator of the Port.
- 1.9 Air Products is to be the first user of the new terminal with the construction and operation of its green hydrogen production facility.
- 1.10 Network Rail is the owner and developer of railway infrastructure in England, Scotland and Wales. Network Rail operates the rail line immediately adjacent to the Port and under which Air Products will be boring holes to run electrical cables and process pipework for ammonia, hydrogen and water.
- 1.11 In this SoCG, ABP, Air Products and Network Rail are collectively referred to as "the Parties".



#### **Purpose and Structure of this Document**

- 1.12 The purpose of this document is to identify and summarise any agreement, disagreement or matters outstanding between the parties on matters relevant to the examination so as to assist the Examining Authority in its consideration of the Application.
- 1.13 In preparing this SoCG, the guidance provided in 'Planning Act 2008: examination of application for development consent' (Department for Communities and Local Government (as it then was), March 2015) has been fully taken into account.-In addition, this SoCG has had due regard to the Examining Authority's **Rule 6 letter [PD-005]**.
- 1.14 Section 1 of this SoCG provides a general introduction to the Project and to the Parties.
- 1.15 Section 2 of this SoCG sets out a summary of the correspondence and engagement between the Parties to date.
- 1.16 Section 3 of this SoCG sets out the matters which have been agreed or which remain outstanding, together with any matters upon which it has not been possible to reach agreement.
- 1.17 The Tables in Section 3 use a colour coding system to indicate the status of the matters between the Parties as follows:
  - (a) Green matter agreed;
  - (b) Orange matter ongoing; and
  - (c) Red matter not agreed.



# 2. Summary of Engagement

- 2.1 A summary of the consultation and engagement between ABP, Air Products and Network Rail up to the date of this SoCG in relation to the Project generally and concerning the matters raised in this SoCG specifically is presented in Table 2-1.
- 2.2 It is agreed by the Parties to this SoCG that Table 2-1 is an accurate record of the meetings and key correspondence between the Parties (excluding immaterial correspondence around dates of meetings etc).

Date	Form of Contact	Summary with key outcomes and points of discussion
25/11/2022	Meeting between Network Rail and Air Products	Air Products presented the project scope and the requirement to bore under the railway for the purpose of running cables and process pipework. Network Rail provided the technical guidance for working alongside their railway and advised Air Products of the application process required to satisfy Network Rail.
02/03/2023	Email from Network Rail to Air Products	Network Rail sent Air Products their Basic Asset Protection Agreement, 0000235166/AS, setting out their costs and scope (Technical review, site inspection, site supervision during works) as part of the application process.
27/03/2023	Email from Air Products to Network Rail	Details requested on the location of the Queens Road railway bridge foundations to ensure that the Air Products design did not impact
30/05/2023	Email from Network Rail to Air Products	Railway bridge construction information pack received from Network Rail to enable Air Products to verify that the underground pipeline design did not impact the bridge foundations
06/07/2023	Email from Air Products to Network Rail	Request from Air Products to discuss Protective Provisions for Network Rail
06/07/2023	Email from Network Rail to Air Products	Network Rail advised Air Products to contact their appointed legal representative in Addleshaw-Goddard for all correspondence related to Protective provision. This contact was passed onto the Air Products legal team
10/08/2023	Email from CRS to Addleshaw Goddard	CRS informed Addleshaw Goddard (AG) acting for National Rail (NR) that DCO submission imminent and provided a copy of the protective provisions accompanying the draft DCO



Date	Form of Contact	Summary with key outcomes and points of discussion		
18/08/2023	Email from Addleshaw Goddard to CRS	AG confirmed their instruction, requested cost undertaking, provided full form protective provisions and requested further information.		
18/08/2023	Email from CRS to Addleshaw Goddard	CRS provided further information and comparison of protective provisions appended to draft DCO and full form protective provisions.		
15/08/2023 Site meeting attended by Network Rail and Air Products		The Air Products and Network Rail representatives walked the proposed pipeline routes in-situ, Air Products advised on the planned locations for the bore lines under the railway. The Network Rail representative indicated that there were no immediate concerns for running boreholes in the locations advised by Air Products. Air Products confirmed that there would be no works taking place on Network Rail property.		
21/08/2023	/2023 Email from CRS to Addleshaw Goddard CRS provided chronology of contact between AP a			
		CRS provided further background information to the form of protective provisions.		
24/08/2023	Teams call between CRS and Addleshaw Goddard	CRS and AG discussed the land plans and interaction with apparatus. AG raised the lack of EMI provisions in protective provisions and the use of level crossings by construction traffic as initial concerns		
25/08/2023 Email from CRS to Addleshaw Goddard		CRS confirmed that the current design has two 3 phase electrical cables running in the conduits beneath the railway so EMI provisions will be relevant; and confirmed the construction manager is happy to ensure Kiln Lane level crossing use is minimised/avoided as much as possible.		
Addleshaw Goddard		AG requested that the construction manager take into account the level crossing on Southern Marsh Road as unsuitable for use by HGVs		
30/08/2023	Email from CRS to Addleshaw Goddard	CRS provided cost undertaking to AG		
07/09/2023 Email from Addleshaw Goddard to CRS		AG confirmed that NR has submitted a written representation in respect of Immingham Eastern Ro Ro Terminal DCO (IERRT). AG reiterated that South Marsh Road level crossing not fit for HGV use and use of the Kiln Lane level crossing may have an adverse impact on its lifespan and so NR would want to be consulted and		



Date	Form of Contact	Summary with key outcomes and points of discussion		
		reasonable requirements adhered to. AG also setting out proposal put forward on IERRT.		
13/09/2023	Email from CRS to Addleshaw Goddard	CRS confirmed that Air Products would be happy with traffic restriction wording provided any such consultation could happen quickly.		
13/09/2023	Email from Addleshaw Goddard to CRS	AG to seek instruction from named contact and chase for confirmation on suggested approach to protective provision		
06/10/2023	Email from CRS to Addleshaw Goddard	CRS confirmed the form of protective provisions submitted with DCO and confirmed proposed approach from NR was not agreed		
10/10/2023	Email from Addleshaw Goddard to CRS	AG confirmed they would review the protective provisions		
10/10/2023	Email from CRS to Addleshaw Goddard	CRS requested inclusion of proposals in draft DCO and that approach taken should follow IERRT		
07/11/2023	Email from Addleshaw Goddard to CRS	AG provided draft protective provisions and 'framework agreement'		
14/11/2023	Email from CRS to Addleshaw Goddard	CRS confirmed ABP would need to confirm the approach to be taken on IERRT and requested draft asset protection agreement		
15/11/2023	Email from Addleshaw Goddard to CRS	Precedent form of asset protection agreement provided.		
15/11/2023 Email from CRS to A Addleshaw Goddard		Acknowledgment of receipt		
15/11/2023	Email from Addleshaw Goddard to CRS	AG indicated that proposed approach mirrors that put forward on IERRT		
15/11/2023	Email from CRS to Addleshaw Goddard	CRS noted that position put forward by AG did not reflect instructions on approach being taken on IERRT. CRS confirmed they would take further instructions on the desired approach by Network Rail.		
16/11/2023	Email from Air Products to Network Rail	Air Products shared their FEED (Front End Engineering Design) report for the underground pipelines with the Network Rail Asset Protection Team for review and to		



Date	Form of Contact	Summary with key outcomes and points of discussion		
		give Network Rail the opportunity to comment ahead of the official application.		
		Provision of FEED design report for underground pipeline		
17/11/2023	Email from Network Rail to Air Products	Acknowledgement received that the FEED report would be considered		
27/11/2023	Email from Addleshaw Goddard to CRS	Request for update as regards instructions on proposed documentation.		
04/12/2024	Email from CRS to Addleshaw Goddard	CRS confirming that they are seeking instructions.		
20/12/2024	Email from CRS to Addleshaw Goddard	CRS requesting confirmation of identity of AG's new instructing offer to ensure consistency of information flow.		
21/12/2024	Email from Addleshaw Goddard to CRS	AG confirming identity of instructing officer		
02/01/2024	Email from Addleshaw Goddard to CRS	AG requesting comments on proposed documentation.		
05/01/2024	Meeting between Air Products and Network Rail	Meeting for Network Rail to present and discuss any comments or feedback arising from the FEED report. No concerns were raised by Network Rail on the construction scope of works. The only issue outstanding was the type of closure required for the rail line - this is an administrative decision for Network Rail based on the duration of time required for the drilling works under the railway to take place. This can only be determined once a specialist Civil Engineering company has been appointed by Air Products and made an estimate of the duration.		
29/01/2024	Email from CRS to Addleshaw Goddard	CRS confirming that it is taking instructions on whether is appropriate to proceed to negotiate documentation o the basis of Network Rail's desired approach.		
06/02/2024	Meeting between Air Products and Network Rail:	Technical discussion on the proposed HDD (Horizontal Directional Drilling) under the railway line. Summary of topics / key conclusions:		
	– NR Asset Protection Project Manager	<ol> <li>AP outlined the proposal to NR,</li> <li>NR advised of their concerns and the need for track monitoring, these were understood and agreed to by AP,</li> </ol>		



Date	Form of Contact	Summary with key outcomes and points of discussion
	NR Asset Protection Project Management Assistant — AP Interface Manager AP Construction Director	<ol> <li>NR advised that closures would be required to install / remove the HDD equipment, but as long as the monitoring equipment was installed with NR's approval then the HDD could proceed without closing the railway line.</li> <li>NR advised on the procedure and notice period required for the closure,</li> <li>NR advised on the requirement for further review of the design by a NR integrity engineer,</li> <li>NR advised on the need to update NR's National Hazards directory, wording and associated signage to be advised by NR nearer the date of closure.</li> </ol>



# 3. Matters Agreed and Matters Not Agreed

- 3.1 It is agreed the following chapters of the **Consultation Report [APP-022]** submitted with the Application sets out the consultation and engagement undertaken between the Parties in relation to the Application:
- 3.1.1 Chapter [4]; First Statutory Consultation. Network Rail was consulted by the Local Authorities as part of their statutory obligations.
- 3.1.2 Chapter [5]; Second Statutory Consultation. Network Rail was consulted by the Local Authorities as part of their statutory obligations.
- 3.2 Table 3-1 contains a list of 'matters agreed' (shaded green); a list of matters in respect of which discussion is ongoing (shaded orange) and a list of matters not agreed (shaded red) at the date of the Examination along with a concise commentary of what the item refers to and how it came to be agreed between the Parties (as applicable).



#### Table 3-1 - List of Matters Agreed, Matters Outstanding and Matters Not Agreed

ID	Matter	Reference	Network Rail Position	ABP / Air Products Position	Status	Date
1	Scope of works and implications of IGET on the operation of the rail network operated by Network Rail within and beyond the Port		Network Rail acknowledges the scope of relevant works associated with the Project, comprising the boring of holes under the railway. No further impacts to Network Rail Assets are anticipated. There are no objections in principle to the planned work. An Asset Protection Agreement between Network Rail and ABP is being negotiated and will require signing prior to any work taking place.	works associated with the Project, comprising the boring of holes under the railway. No further impacts to Network	Discussion ongoing	
2	Track closure		Network Rail accepts that track closures will be required to complete the underground boring of holes under the railway line. The duration and the timing of the closures will be agreed once details of the design and method statements are available. The duration and timing will be documented and agreed via Applications for Closure to be made by Air Products to Network Rail	closure of the railway line will be	Discussion ongoing	



ID	Matter	Reference	Network Rail Position	ABP / Air Products Position	Status	Date
			Network Rail does not object to the principle of the closures and does not consider that there is any impediment to closure being agreed, however the duration and approach to agreement on dates of any closure are yet to be agreed.	Network Rail's Applications for Closure work process.		
3	Protective Provisions	DCO	Network Rail requires the inclusion of Protective Provisions in the draft DCO in order to protect Network Rail's assets and interests. The wording of such Protective Provisions is under negotiation via solicitors for the respective parties. Should wording be agreed, appropriate protection will be in place for Network Rail and, as a result, Network Rail will not suffer any detriment to the carrying on of its undertaking as a result of the Project.	Air Products / ABP agree that the draft DCO should include Protective Provisions in Network Rail's favour. The Parties are actively and constructively engaged in negotiating the wording of the Protective Provisions via their solicitors. Those Protective Provisions will ensure that sufficient protection and safeguards for NR's assets and interests are in place.	Discussion ongoing	



# 4. Glossary

Abbreviation / Acronym	Definition
ABP	Associated British Ports
Air Products	Air Products (BR) Limited
DCO	Development Consent Order
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
ES	Environmental Statement
ММО	Marine Management Organisation
Network Rail	Network Rail Infrastructure Ltd
NSIP	Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project
PA 2008	Planning Act 2008
PINS	Planning Inspectorate
SoCG	Statement of Common Ground
SoS	Secretary of State for Transport
UK	United Kingdom